

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/595,809	07/14/2006	Shinji Okamoto	NIS-16657	7041	
40854 RANKIN HII	7590 05/20/2009 LL & CLARK LLP	EXAMINER			
38210 Glenn A	Avenue		FIGUEROA, FELIX O		
WILLOUGHE	3Y, OH 44094-7808		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2833		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/595,809	OKAMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Felix O. Figueroa	2833		

	T Clix O. Figuerou	2000	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 \(\)\[\]\[\]\ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidar eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	vit, or other evidence, v e with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ILTINOTINETET WASTI	LLD WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply ori- than three months after the mailing de-	t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Office	ate extension fee >e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t 	out prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		viii be entered and an e	xpianation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	thefere or on the date of films a h	lation of Annualill no	t he entered
 because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fail See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		in condition for allowar	ice because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: 	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	,		
	/Felix O. Figueroa/		
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2833		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented are not persuasive. In response to Applicant's argument that regarding claim 4, please note that the insulating layers/surfaces between adjacent conductive parts (22) are form of insulating material and thus repel molten solder. Using a material that does not repel solder would result in an inoperable devide. Nonetheless, please note that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed (i.e. to repel solder) does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed affractural limitations.